JOURNAL OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL Friday - 14 July 1978 1. LIAISON I called Grant Miller, Legislative Liaison, Office of the Secretary of Defense and informed him of the Director's recent conversation with Secretary Brown establishing the compartmented clearances brogram for the Legislative Branch. I noted that though Secretary Brown considered the program a good idea he indicated the would look into the proposal. I asked Miller if he heard anything as a result of the conversation. Miller said that Defense has begun to implement the program based on the Defense has begun to implement the program based on the		SECILLI.	. 510
OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL Friday - 14 July 1978 LIAISON I called Grant diller, Legislative Liaison, Office of the Secretary of Defense and informed him of the Director's recent conversation with Secretary Brown establishing the compartmented clearances brogram for the Legislative Branch. I noted that though Secretary Brown considered the program a good idea he indicated the would look into the proposal. I asked Miller if he heard anything as a result of the conversation. Miller said that the Defense has begun to implement the program based on the continuous to the Community Security Components which was			
I. LIAISON I called Grant Siller, Legislative Liaison, Office of the Secretary of Defense and informed him of the Director's recent conversation with Secretary Brown establishing the compartmented clearances brogram for the Legislative Branch. I noted that though Secretary Brown considered the program a good idea he indicated he would look into the proposal. I asked Miller if he heard anything as a result of the conversation. Miller said that Defense has begun to implement the program based on the continuation to the Community Security Components which was		JOURNAL	*
LIAISON I called Grant Miller, Legislative Liaison, Office of the Secretary of Defense and informed him of the Director's recent conversation with Secretary Brown establishing the compartmented clearances or orgam for the Legislative Branch. I noted that though Secretary Brown considered the program a good idea he indicated he would look into the proposal. I asked Miller if he heard anything as a result of the conversation. Miller said that Defense has begun to implement the program based on the secretification to the Community Security Components which was	Oi:	FICE OF LEGISLATIVE COL	INSEL .
Willer, Legislative Liaison, Office of the Secretary of Defense and informed him of the Director's recent conversation with Secretary Brown establishing the compartmented clearances program for the Legislative Branch. I noted that though Secretary Brown considered the program a good idea he indicated ne would look into the proposal. I asked Miller if he heard anything as a result of the conversation. Miller said that Defense has begun to implement the program based on the		Friday - 14 July 1978	3
sent out by the DCI's Security Committee. He strongly supporte the program and suggested that the Guidelines be submitted to the Director for signature and issuance. Mr. Hitz was advised.	Miller, Legislative and informed him of Secretary Brown est program for the Leg Secretary Brown conhe would look into anything as a result Defense has begun to notification to the sent out by the DCL	e Liaison, Office of the fithe Director's recent tablishing the comparting islative Branch. I not not be proposal. I asked the proposal. I asked to fithe conversation to implement the programe Community Security Committee agested that the Guiden agested that the Guiden	ne Secretary of Defense t conversation with mented clearances oted that though good idea he indicated Miller if he heard. Miller said that am based on the components which was the strongly supported ines be submitted to
to Representative Charles E. Bennett's (D., Fla.) office the reason why we were not treating the request from his constituen under the Privacy Act, I placed a call to speak to the case officer, but found myself discussing the matter with the Congressman. He said he did not think our letter would be responsive to offer to volunteer	speak to the case matter with the Co letter would be re	Charles E. Bennett's (e not treating the requirement of the Privacy According to the Privacy According to the Said here to the Agency as also indicate the Privacy Agency as also indicate the Privacy According to the	D., Fla.) office the lest from his constituent, I placed a call to self discussing the did not think our lated in the Congressman
to Representative Charles E. Bennett's (D., Fla.) office the reason why we were not treating the request from his constituer under the Privacy Act, I placed a call to speak to the case officer, but found myself discussing the matter with the Congressman. He said he did not think our letter would be responsive to offer to volunteer as a "spy" for the Agency, as also indicated in the Congressman letter. He then read his letter to me and discovered he had not in fact, mentioned this at all. I offered that although we appreciate offer, it had been determined at the time he was interviewed in February 1976 that there would be not for the property and the wanted no details of	speak to the case matter with the Co letter would be re as a "spy" for the letter. He then r in fact, mentioned appreciate he was interviewed for the special contract.	Charles E. Bennett's (not treating the requestion of the Privacy According to the Privacy According to the Privacy According to the Second Se	D., Fla.) office the lest from his constituent, I placed a call to self discussing the edid not think our after to volunteer cated in the Congressmand discovered he had not that although we had at the time there would be not the content of the details of
to Representative Charles E. Bennett's (D., Fla.) office the reason why we were not treating the request from his constituer under the Privacy Act, I placed a call to speak to the case officer, but found myself discussing the matter with the Congressman. He said he did not think our letter would be responsive to offer to volunteer as a "spy" for the Agency, as also indicated in the Congressman letter. He then read his letter to me and discovered he had not in fact, mentioned this at all. I offered that although we appreciate offer, it had been determined at the time he was interviewed in February 1976 that there would be no further contact. Mr. Bennett said he wanted no details of our dealings with that he preferred to stay out of it, but only wanted something to pass to the preferred to satisfy	speak to the case matter with the Co letter would be re as a "spy" for the letter. He then r in fact, mentioned appreciate he was interviewed further contact. our dealings with	Charles E. Bennett's (not treating the requestion of the Privacy According to pass to the product of the produ	D., Fla.) office the lest from his constituer it, I placed a call to self discussing the edid not think our offer to volunteer ated in the Congressmand discovered he had not that although we had there would be no ented no details of eferred to stay out of to satisfy
to Representative Charles E. Bennett's (D., Fla.) office the reason why we were not treating the request from his constituer under the Privacy Act, I placed a call to speak to the case officer, but found myself discussing the matter with the Congressman. He said he did not think our letter would be responsive to	speak to the case matter with the Co letter would be re as a "spy" for the letter. He then r in fact, mentioned appreciate he was interviewed further contact. our dealings with	Charles E. Bennett's (not treating the requestion of the Privacy According to pass to the product of the produ	D., Fla.) office the lest from his constituer it, I placed a call to self discussing the edid not think our offer to volunteer ated in the Congressmand discovered he had not that although we had there would be no ented no details of eferred to stay out of to satisfy